



[Nace la Red Iberoamericana de Lucha contra el Dopaje. AEPSAD](#)

[Un jugador de fútbol sala, suspendido por dopaje. AS](#)

[Why Is Nike Still Backing Alberto Salazar? OUTSIDE](#)

AEPSAD

20/05/2021

Nace la Red Iberoamericana de Lucha contra el Dopaje



Los países iberoamericanos cuentan con una nueva herramienta de cooperación internacional que pretende impulsar el intercambio de experiencias, la información técnica, regulatoria y organizativa en la prevención y lucha contra el dopaje en el deporte desde el ámbito de competencias de sus distintas organizaciones antidopaje. Se trata de una entidad que pretende consolidarse como una referencia a nivel mundial representando los intereses de la región en esta materia.

La Red Iberoamericana de Lucha contra el Dopaje (RILD) comienza su andadura tras su Asamblea Fundacional celebrada de forma virtual en la que participaron representantes de todos los países de Iberoamérica (Andorra, Argentina, Bolivia, Brasil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Chile, Cuba, Ecuador, El Salvador, España, Guatemala, Honduras, México, Nicaragua, Panamá, Paraguay, Perú, Portugal, Puerto Rico, República Dominicana, Uruguay y Venezuela).

A esta lista de países se suman las organizaciones que formarán parte de la RILD como observadores: la Agencia Mundial Antidopaje (AMA), el Consejo Iberoamericano del Deporte (CID), la Federación Internacional de Medicina del Deporte y la Asociación Internacional de Organizaciones Nacionales Antidopaje (INADO). Asimismo, ya ha manifestado la intención de presentar próximamente la solicitud para ser observador la Conferencia de las Partes de la Convención Internacional contra el Dopaje en el Deporte de UNESCO.

Entre los objetivos de esta Red destacan el de promover iniciativas para una adecuada comunicación, coordinación, y cooperación y colaboración entre las Organizaciones Nacionales Antidopaje (ONADs); establecer vínculos con otros actores; promocionar acuerdos con instituciones públicas o privadas que permitan el desarrollo y ejecución de proyectos de interés mutuo.

Además, la RILD también servirá para brindar asistencia y apoyo técnico, transferencia de conocimiento y formación para el crecimiento mutuo de las ONADs iberoamericanas; establecer un sistema de comunicación on-line; desarrollar una plataforma web o fortalecer una visión integradora de las necesidades de la prevención y lucha contra el dopaje en Iberoamérica en la que estén incluidos todos los actores involucrados.

Composición de la RILD

La primera asamblea de la RILD aprobó la siguiente composición: Saúl Saucedo Escobar, presidente de la ONAD de Panamá, ocupará la presidencia de la entidad y Diego Grippo, presidente de la ONAD de Argentina, será el vicepresidente. Como secretarios titulares estarán Víctor Carpio, manager de la Comisión Nacional Antidopaje de Perú y Andrés Arturo González Gutiérrez, jefe de la Unidad de Control de Dopaje de la Comisión Nacional de Control de Dopaje de Chile. La Secretaría Permanente de la RILD la ocupará el director de la AEPSAD, José Luis Terreros.

La Red Iberoamericana de Lucha contra el Dopaje comienza su andadura con el firme compromiso de todos los países iberoamericanos de trabajar de manera conjunta en un ámbito de acción común para combatir el dopaje entendido como un fenómeno de dimensión global.

La propuesta de creación de esta RILD fue realizada por parte de la AEPSAD en el Seminario Iberoamericano de Lucha Contra el Dopaje de Cartagena de Indias (Colombia) de 2018. Un año más tarde, en la XXV Asamblea General del Consejo Iberoamericano del Deporte celebrada en Punta del Este (Uruguay), esta propuesta recibió el respaldo de los ministros de Deporte de todos los países Iberoamericanos.

Para José Luis Terreros, director de la AEPSAD y secretario permanente de la RILD la puesta en marcha de esta Red “favorecerá el crecimiento de las organizaciones antidopaje de la región y de sus políticas de lucha contra el dopaje. Terreros animó a todos los países miembros a “ser ambiciosos en el desarrollo de programas conjuntos para proteger la integridad y la limpieza del deporte”.

<https://aeapsad.culturaydeporte.gob.es/actualidad/2021/abr-jun/20210520-red-iberoamericana-antidopaje-rild.html>

AS

18/05/2021

Un jugador de fútbol sala, suspendido por dopaje

El brasileño Renato Viana, portero del London Helvecia, ha sido castigado con dos años de sanción tras dar positivo por Tamoxifen tras un partido de la Liga de Campeones.

EFE

CHEMA DÍAZ DIARIO AS

El brasileño Renato Viana, guardameta del London Helvecia inglés de fútbol sala, ha sido sancionado por el Comité de Apelación de la UEFA a dos años de suspensión tras dar positivo en un control antidopaje.

Según informa el organismo, el portero pasó un control tras un partido de la ronda preliminar de la Liga de Campeones entre el Differdange 03 luxemburgués y el conjunto londinense (6-0), y el análisis por parte de un laboratorio acreditado reveló la presencia de Tamoxifen, sustancia prohibida en la categoría de moduladores hormonales y metabólicos en la lista de la AMA.

La UEFA abrió un procedimiento disciplinario contra Renato Viana. El Comité de Control y Disciplina de la UEFA remitió el mismo al de Apelación para que tomara una decisión en virtud del artículo 39.3 del Reglamento Disciplinario, y éste acordó suspender al guardameta con dos años de suspensión a partir de la notificación de la decisión, es decir, hasta el 10 de marzo de 2023, por cometer una infracción de las normas antidopaje.

El organismo europeo precisa que la decisión del Comité de Apelación no fue recurrida ante el Tribunal de Arbitraje del Deporte (TAS), con lo que es definitiva.

https://as.com/masdeporte/2021/05/18/polideportivo/1621340499_088578.html

OUTSIDE

18/05/2021

Why Is Nike Still Backing Alberto Salazar?

'Nike's Big Bet' argues that the banned coach is too important to the company's image



Martin Fritz Huber

In early March, the [Court of Arbitration for Sport](#) heard an appeal from Alberto Salazar, the former coach of the Nike Oregon Project, who in 2019 [received a four-year suspension](#) from United States Anti-Doping Agency for doping-related misconduct. The court has yet to announce a decision about whether the beleaguered coach's ban will be upheld, reduced, or overturned—though he would seem to have a decent shot at being exonerated. After all, Salazar's appeal [is being funded](#) by Nike, the richest, most influential sports apparel company in the world. The company has remained steadfast in its support, even after Mary Cain publicly [accused her former coach of abuse](#) while she was a member of the Nike Oregon Project; in early 2020, Salazar [was sanctioned](#) with an additional ban from coaching by the U.S. Center for SafeSport, an organization that protects athletes from abuse. Would Nike really double down in defense of the most polarizing figure in professional running if they didn't think he could clear his name? Conversely, if the Salazar brand is irredeemable, why doesn't the Swoosh cut him loose?

These are the underlying questions in [Nike's Big Bet](#), a new documentary by Paul Kemp which draws on interviews with several prominent members of the running commentariat to revisit the details of the Salazar case. (The film premiered earlier this month at [Canada's Hot Docs Festival](#) and is expected to be available for streaming in the U.S. in the near future, though an official release date has not been set.) Kemp—who recently co-produced a documentary on Jordan Peterson, the Canadian psychology professor, masculinity guru, and political lightning rod—doesn't shy away from divisive material. His latest project pushes the theory that Salazar's maximalist approach to coaching is an extension of Nike's hyper-competitive culture. If that sounds familiar, it might be because it was also the premise of journalist Matt Hart's book, [Win at All Costs](#), which I [wrote about last year](#). But while Hart's book is relentlessly scathing in its analysis, *Nike's Big Bet* is more generous towards its subject. Salazar's maniacal behavior is framed less as an ethical affront, so much as what happens when you push the demands of elite level competition to their logical extreme.

Whether because of his ongoing appeal or a general aversion to the media, Salazar himself declined to be interviewed for *Nike's Big Bet*. By the same token, many of his most ardent critics, including Cain and the former Oregon Project coach Steve Magness, don't make an appearance either. (Kemp told me that while he did speak to Cain, she declined to be in the movie.) The only real detractor whom we hear from is ex-NOP member [Kara Goucher](#), who has the added distinction of being the film's sole female interviewee—a fact [which seems an obvious oversight](#) considering that much of the [most damning testimony](#) against Salazar has come from women. (In addition to *Outside*'s own [Alex Hutchinson](#), the film includes appearances from Tim Hutchings, Weldon Johnson, Jon Gault, Chris Chavez, Ken Goe, and Amby Burfoot—to only name those who belong in the narrow category of running media.)

As for the question of whether some of the eye-popping feats of Nike Oregon Project stars like [Mo Farah](#), [Galen Rupp](#), or [Sifan Hassan](#) could have been due, in part, to performance-enhancing shadiness, *Nike's Big Bet* doesn't tell us anything we don't already know. The debate has never really been about the facts of the case, in and of themselves, so much as about the interpretation of the facts. *Nike's Big Bet* rightly points out the inherent absurdity of a coach being banned on doping-related charges without a single one of his athletes failing a doping test or being officially charged with violating the rules. We hear, once again, about Salazar's smearing topical testosterone on his son to see how much would trigger a positive test and Farah's momentary bout of amnesia where, minutes after vehemently denying to reporters that he had ever received an (ostensibly legal) L-carnitine infusion, he doubles back and says that wait, actually, he did. We are reminded of Salazar's pathological obsession with employing space-age gadgetry (CryoSaunas! Infrared pods! Underwater treadmills!) to give his athletes an edge. Unlike in Hart's book, where Salazar's tinkering is presented as being mostly devoid of any real scientific basis, *Nike's Big Bet* goes all in on the super coach mythos. Salazar is, in the words of commentator Tim Hutchings, "a flawed genius."

But flawed in what way, exactly? According to Malcolm Gladwell, who is the documentary's most dedicated Salazar apologist, Salazar is "an extremist," who pushed himself to his absolute limit as an athlete and expects a similar level of fanaticism from his charges. "Many coaches behave like parents," Gladwell says at one point. "The job of a parent is not to maximize the performance of a child, but to create a happy functional human being. Salazar is a coach who does not behave like a parent... if you're not game for that, then don't go run with Alberto Salazar." In Cain's case, however, Salazar [contacted her when she was sixteen](#), which feels relevant when determining who's responsible for initiating a relationship that would leave her physically and emotionally broken by her early twenties. It's also bizarre to suggest that the degree to which one is "a happy functional human being" will have no bearing on maximizing athletic performance, or even that the two must, as a rule, be mutually exclusive.

Late in the film, there's an extended segment on the way [Nike has upended professional distance running](#) over the past five years with its Vaporfly shoes—starting at the 2016 U.S. Olympic Trials marathon, where a number of Nike-sponsored athletes were wearing carbon-plated, super foam-infused soles [in secret](#). We are meant to understand that the clandestine launch of a product that has since been proven to provide a significant competitive advantage is a corporate-level manifestation of Salazar's belief that anything that is not expressly forbidden is permitted. It becomes clear that, for a number of those interviewed in *Nike's Big Bet*, the disruptive effect of the Vaporfly was more egregious than any of Salazar's transgressions.

Seen in this light, Nike's defense of Salazar is also a defense of its entire brand philosophy. It's a public relations battle that goes beyond trying to salvage the reputation of a benighted coach and longtime employee. Why is Nike so invested? Because, at this point, the company cannot condemn Salazar without condemning itself.

<https://www.outsideonline.com/2422764/des-linden-2021-racing>

